Reply to Office Action of January 11, 2008

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the thorough examination given the present

application.

Independent claim 1 is pending. Claim 1 has been amended to more clarify the present

invention. Also, descriptions at pages 3-6 and 36 of the present specification have been amended

as set forth above. No new matter has been added.

In view of the below remarks, reconsideration and withdrawal of all rejection are

respectfully requested.

**Preliminary Matter** 

Applicant respectfully submits that the LETTER was filed on June 6, 2005, requesting

the PTO to use the amended claims attached to the International Preliminary Examination Report

(Article 34) during prosecution of the above-identified national phase PCT application.

However, it appears that this Amendment has not entered. Accordingly, claim 1 and

specification have been further amended to reflect this, which amendments are directed to more

clarify the relevant oxides in the form of chemical formula.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant greatly appreciates allowing claim 1 and indicating claim 1 would be allowable

if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection.

In light of this indication, claim 1 has been amended in better form to address the 112,

second rejection below and to reflect the PCT Amendment, which PCT Amendment clarifies the

used oxides to indicate the form of the chemical formula. Thus, amended claim 1 is also believed

to be allowable.

Objections to the Specification and Claim

The Examiner has objected to specification and claim 1 because of minor informalities.

By way of the present amendments, these objections are most and should be withdrawn.

Rejection under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The Examiner has rejected claim 1 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject mater which

applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

While not conceding to the Examiner's rejection, but to advance the prosecution only,

claim 1 has been amended to clarify the present invention.

Regarding BN, page 5, lines 6-8 of amended claim 1 recites "...and including at least one

oxide selected from the group consisting of TiO2, ZrO2, ZnO, Al2O3, BN, SiO2, MgO, which are

white oxides, or including BN, ...". Also, the same amendment has been made to page 6, line 6

of amended claim 1.

By way of this, this rejection has been rendered moot and reconsideration and withdrawal

of this rejection is respectfully requested.

11

EHC/KKC/amm

Docket No.: 5457-0105PUS1

Docket No.: 5457-0105PUS1

## **Conclusion**

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Esther H. Chong Reg. No. 40,953 at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.147; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: April 11, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

Esther H. Chong

Registration No.: 40,953

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

EHC/KKC/amm